arXiv:1207.3500v1 [math.FA] 15 Jul 2012

Third Order Trace Formula

Arup Chattopadhyay [1] and Kalyan B. Sinha [2]

Abstract

In [5], Dykema and Skripka showed the existence of higher order spectral shift functions when the unperturbed self-adjoint operator is bounded and the perturbations is Hilbert-Schmidt. In this article, we give a different proof for the existence of spectral shift function for the third order when the unperturbed operator is self-adjoint (bounded or unbounded, but bounded below).

Keywords. Trace formula, spectral shift function , perturbations of self-adjoint operators.

1 Introduction.

Notations: Here, $\mathcal{H}$ will denote the separable Hilbert space we work in; $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $\mathcal{B}_p(\mathcal{H})$ $[p \geq 1]$, the set of bounded, Schatten p- class operators in $\mathcal{H}$ respectively with $\|.\|, \|.\|_p$ as the associated norms. In particular $\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$ and $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ are known as the set of trace class and Hilbert-Schmidt class operators in $\mathcal{H}$. Let A be a self-adjoint operator in $\mathcal{H}$ with $\sigma(A)$ as the spectra and $E_A(\lambda)$ the spectral family. The symbols $\mathrm{Dom}(A)$, $\mathrm{Ker}(A)$, $\mathrm{Ran}(A)$ and $\mathrm{Tr}A$ denote the domain, kernel, range and trace of the operator A respectively.

Let A (possibly unbounded) and V be two self-adjoint operators in $\mathcal{H}$ such that $V \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$, then Krein [9] proved that there exists a unique real-valued $L^1(\mathbb{R})$- function ξ with support in the interval $[a,b]$ (where $a = min\{\inf\sigma(A+V), \inf\sigma(A)\}$ and $b = max\{\sup\sigma(A+V), \sup\sigma(A)\}$) such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left[\phi\left(A+V\right)-\phi\left(A\right)\right] = \int_a^b \phi'(\lambda)\xi(\lambda)d\lambda, \tag{1.1}$$

for a large class of functions ϕ . The function ξ is known as Krein's spectral shift function and the relation (1.1) is called Krein's trace formula. In 1985, Voiculescu approached the trace formula (1.1) from a different direction. Later Voiculescu [13], and Sinha and Mohapatra ([11], [12]) proved that

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left[\phi\left(A+V\right)-\phi\left(A\right)\right] = \lim_{n\longrightarrow\infty}\mathrm{Tr}_n\left[\phi\left((A+V)_n\right)-\phi\left(A_n\right)\right] = \int \phi'(\lambda)\xi(\lambda)d\lambda, \tag{1.2}$$

---

[1] J.N.Centre for Advanced Scientific Research, Bangalore-560064, INDIA; arup@jncasr.ac.in

[2] J.N.Centre for Advanced Scientific Research and Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore-560064, INDIA; kbs@jncasr.ac.in

by adapting the Weyl-von Neumann's theorem (where $\phi(.)$ is a suitable function and $(A+V)_n, A_n$ are finite dimensional approximations of $(A+V)$ and A respectively and Tr_n is the associated finite dimensional trace).In [8], Koplienko considers instead $\phi(A+V) - \phi(A) - D^{(1)}\phi(A)(V)$, where $D^{(1)}\phi(A)$ denotes the first order Frechet derivative of ϕ at A [1] and finds a trace formula for this expression. If $V \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$, then Koplienko's formula asserts that there exists a unique function $\eta \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}\{\phi(A+V) - \phi(A) - D^{(1)}\phi(A)(V)\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi''(\lambda)\eta(\lambda)d\lambda \tag{1.3}$$

for rational functions ϕ with poles off $\mathbb{R}$. In [4], Koplienko trace formula was derived using finite dimensional approximation method, while Dykema and Skripka [5] obtained the formula (1.3) in the semi-finite von Neumann algebra setting and also studied the existence of higher order spectral shift function. In ([5], Theorem 5.1), Dykema and Skripka showed that for a self-adjoint operator A (possibly unbounded) and a self-adjoint operator $V \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$, the following assertions hold:

(i) There is a unique finite real-valued measure ν_3 on $\mathbb{R}$ such that the trace formula

$$\mathrm{Tr}\{\phi(A+V) - \phi(A) - D^{(1)}\phi(A)(V) - \frac{1}{2}D^{(2)}\phi(A)(V,V)\} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi'''(\lambda)d\nu_3(\lambda), \tag{1.4}$$

holds for suitable functions ϕ, where $D^{(2)}\phi(A)$ is the second order Frechet derivative of ϕ at A [1]. *The total variation of ν_3 is bounded by $\frac{1}{3!}\|V\|_2^3$.*

(ii) If, in addition, A is bounded, then ν_3 is absolutely continuous.

It is noted that there has been a more recent preprint by Potapov , Skripka and Sukochev [10] in which similar and further results have been announced.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2 , we establish the formula (1.4) for bounded self-adjoint case and section 3 is devoted to the unbounded self-adjoint case.

2 Bounded Case

The next three lemmas are preparatory for the proof of the main theorem of this section, theorem 2.5.

Lemma 2.1. *Let, for a given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\{a_k\}_{k=0}^{n-1}$ be a sequence of complex numbers such that $a_{n-k-1} = a_k$. Then*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-j-1} a_k + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} a_k = (n+1)\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k.$$

Proof. By changing the indices of summation and using the fact $a_{n-k-1} = a_k$, we get that

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-j-1} a_k + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} a_k = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=j}^{n-1} a_{n-k-1} + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{j} a_k = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=j}^{n-1} a_k + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{j} a_k$$
$$= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_j + n \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k = (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k.$$

□

Lemma 2.2. *Let A and V be two bounded self-adjoint operators in an infinite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ such that $V \in \mathcal{B}_3(\mathcal{H})$. Let $p(\lambda) = \lambda^r$ $(r \geq 0)$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} &\operatorname{Tr}\left[(A+V)^r - A^r - D^{(1)}(A^r)(V) - \frac{1}{2} D^{(2)}(A^r)(V,V)\right] \\ &\qquad = r \sum_{k=0}^{r-2} \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \ \operatorname{Tr}\left[V A_\tau^{r-k-2} V A_\tau^k - V A^{r-k-2} V A^k\right], \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $A_\tau = A + \tau V$ and $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$.

Proof. For $X \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, $p(A+X) - p(A) = \sum\limits_{j=0}^{r-1} (A+X)^{r-j-1} X A^j$ and hence

$$\left\| p(A+X) - p(A) - \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} A^{r-j-1} X A^j \right\| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} \sum_{k=0}^{r-j-2} \|A+X\|^{r-j-k-2} \|X\| \|A\|^k \|X\| \|A\|^j,$$

proving that $D^{(1)}(A^r)(X) = \sum\limits_{j=0}^{r-1} A^{r-j-1} X A^j$.

Again for $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$,

$$\begin{aligned} &D^{(1)}((A+X)^r)(Y) - D^{(1)}(A^r)(Y) \\ &\quad = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} (A+X)^{r-j-1} Y (A+X)^j - \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} A^{r-j-1} Y A^j \\ &\quad = \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} \left[(A+X)^{r-j-1} - A^{r-j-1}\right] Y (A+X)^j + \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} A^{r-j-1} Y \left[(A+X)^j - A^j\right] \\ &\quad = \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} \sum_{k=0}^{r-j-2} (A+X)^{r-j-k-2} X A^k Y (A+X)^j + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} A^{r-j-1} Y (A+X)^k X A^{j-k-1}, \end{aligned}$$

leading to the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}&\|D^{(1)}((A+X)^r)(Y)-D^{(1)}(A^r)(Y)\\ &\qquad -\left(\sum_{j=0}^{r-2}\sum_{k=0}^{r-j-2}A^{r-j-k-2}XA^kYA^j+\sum_{j=1}^{r-1}\sum_{k=0}^{j-1}A^{r-j-1}YA^kXA^{j-k-1}\right)\|=\bigcirc(\|X\|^2)\end{aligned}$$

for $\|X\|\leq 1$, proving that

$$D^{(2)}(A^r)(X,Y)=\sum_{j=0}^{r-2}\sum_{k=0}^{r-j-2}A^{r-j-k-2}XA^kYA^j+\sum_{j=1}^{r-1}\sum_{k=0}^{j-1}A^{r-j-1}YA^kXA^{j-k-1}. \tag{2.2}$$

Recall that $A_s=A+sV\in\mathcal{B}_{s.a.}(\mathcal{H})$ $(0\leq s\leq 1)$, and a similar calculation shows that the map $[0,1]\ni s\longmapsto A_s^r$ is continuously differentiable in norm-topology and

$$\frac{d}{ds}(A_s^r)=\sum_{j=0}^{r-1}A_s^{r-j-1}VA_s^j=\sum_{j=0}^{r-1}A_s^jVA_s^{r-j-1}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}(A+V)^r-A^r-D^{(1)}(A^r)(V)&=\int_0^1 ds\frac{d}{ds}(A_s^r)-D^{(1)}(A^r)(V)\\ &=\int_0^1 ds\sum_{j=0}^{r-1}\left(A_s^{r-j-1}VA_s^j-A^{r-j-1}VA^j\right)=\int_0^1 ds\sum_{j=0}^{r-1}\int_0^s d\tau\frac{d}{d\tau}\left(A_\tau^{r-j-1}VA_\tau^j\right),\end{aligned}$$

which by an application of Leibnitz's rule reduces to

$$\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau\left(\sum_{j=0}^{r-2}\sum_{k=0}^{r-j-2}A_\tau^{r-j-k-2}VA_\tau^kVA_\tau^j+\sum_{j=1}^{r-1}\sum_{k=0}^{j-1}A_\tau^{r-j-1}VA_\tau^kVA_\tau^{j-k-1}\right)$$

and using (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&(A+V)^r-A^r-D^{(1)}(A^r)(V)-\frac{1}{2}D^{(2)}(A^r)(V,V)\\ &\quad=\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau\{\sum_{j=0}^{r-2}\sum_{k=0}^{r-j-2}A_\tau^{r-j-k-2}VA_\tau^kVA_\tau^j+\sum_{j=1}^{r-1}\sum_{k=0}^{j-1}A_\tau^{r-j-1}VA_\tau^kVA_\tau^{j-k-1}\\ &\qquad\qquad-\sum_{j=0}^{r-2}\sum_{k=0}^{r-j-2}A^{r-j-k-2}VA^kVA^j-\sum_{j=1}^{r-1}\sum_{k=0}^{j-1}A^{r-j-1}VA^kVA^{j-k-1}\}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Let us denote the sum of the first and third term inside the integral in (2.3) to be

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{I}_1 &\equiv \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} \sum_{k=0}^{r-j-2} \left[A_\tau^{r-j-k-2} V A_\tau^k V A_\tau^j - A^{r-j-k-2} V A^k V A^j\right] \\
&= \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} \sum_{k=0}^{r-j-2} \left[A_\tau^{r-j-k-2} - A^{r-j-k-2}\right] V A_\tau^k V A_\tau^j + \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} \sum_{k=0}^{r-j-2} A^{r-j-k-2} V \left[A_\tau^k - A^k\right] V A_\tau^j \\
&\quad + \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} \sum_{k=0}^{r-j-2} A^{r-j-k-2} V A^k V \left[A_\tau^j - A^j\right] \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}),
\end{aligned}$$

since $V \in \mathcal{B}_3(\mathcal{H})$ and $A_\tau^k - A^k \in \mathcal{B}_3(\mathcal{H})$ $\forall \tau \in [0,1]$ and $k \in \{0,1,2,3,......\}$. Thus by the cyclicity of trace , we have that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{I}_1) = \sum_{j=0}^{r-2} \sum_{k=0}^{r-j-2} \mathrm{Tr}\left[A_\tau^{r-k-2} V A_\tau^k V - A^{r-k-2} V A^k V\right].$$

Again if we set the sum of the second and fourth term inside the integral in (2.3) to be

$$\mathrm{I}_2 \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \left[A_\tau^{r-j-1} V A_\tau^k V A_\tau^{j-k-1} - A^{r-j-1} V A^k V A^{j-k-1}\right] \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}),$$

a similar calculation shows that

$$\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{I}_2) = \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} \sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \mathrm{Tr}\left[A_\tau^{r-k-2} V A_\tau^k V - A^{r-k-2} V A^k V\right].$$

By applying Lemma 2.1 with $n = r-1$ and $a_k = \mathrm{Tr}\left[A_\tau^{r-k-2} V A_\tau^k V - A^{r-k-2} V A^k V\right]$ and using the cyclicity of trace, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{I}_1) + \mathrm{Tr}(\mathrm{I}_2) &= r \sum_{k=0}^{r-2} \mathrm{Tr}\left[A_\tau^{r-k-2} V A_\tau^k V - A^{r-k-2} V A^k V\right] \\
&= r \sum_{k=0}^{r-2} \mathrm{Tr}\left[V A_\tau^{r-k-2} V A_\tau^k - V A^{r-k-2} V A^k\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

Hence combining (2.3) and (2.4), we get the required expression (2.1). □

Lemma 2.3. *Let B be a bounded operator in an infinite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$(i.e. $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$). Define $\mathcal{M}_B : \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H}) \longmapsto \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ (looking upon $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H}) \equiv \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ as a Hilbert space* *with inner product given by trace i.e.*$\langle X,Y\rangle_2 = \mathrm{Tr}\{X^*Y\}$ *for* $X,Y \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$*) by* $\mathcal{M}_B(X) = BX - XB$; $X \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$*. Then*

(i) $\mathcal{M}_B$ *is a bounded operator on* $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ *(i.e.* $\mathcal{M}_B \in \mathcal{B}(\widetilde{\mathcal{H}})$*) with* $\mathcal{M}_B^* = \mathcal{M}_{B^*}$*.*

(ii) $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathcal{M}_B)$ *and its orthogonal complement* $\overline{\mathrm{Ran}\,(\mathcal{M}_{B^*})}$ *in* $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ *are left invariant by left and right multiplication by* B^n *and* $(B^*)^n$ $(n = 1,2,3,...)$ *respectively.*

(iii) $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} = \mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathcal{M}_B) \bigoplus \overline{\mathrm{Ran}\,(\mathcal{M}_{B^*})}$ *;* $\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H}) \ni X = X_1 \oplus X_2$*, where* $X_1 \in \mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathcal{M}_B)$ *and* $X_2 \in \overline{\mathrm{Ran}\,(\mathcal{M}_{B^*})}$*.*

(iv) If $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathcal{M}_B) = \mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathcal{M}_{B^*})$*, then* $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathcal{M}_B)$ *and* $\overline{\mathrm{Ran}\,(\mathcal{M}_B)}$ *are generated by their self-adjoint elements and for* $X \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$*, we have* $(X^*)_1 = X_1^*$ *and* $(X^*)_2 = X_2^*$*, where* $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$ *and* $X^* = (X^*)_1 \oplus (X^*)_2$ *are the respective decompositions of* X *and* X^* *in* $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$*.*

(v) If $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathcal{M}_B) = \mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathcal{M}_{B^*})$*, then for* $X = X^* \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$*,* $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$ *with* X_1 *and* X_2 *both self-adjoint.*

(vi) (a) For $B = B^* \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$*,* $\mathcal{M}_B$ *is self-adjoint in* $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ *and for* $X = X^* \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$*, we have* $X_1 = X_1^*$ *and* $X_2 = X_2^*$*.*

(b) For $B = (A + \mathrm{i})^{-1}$ *(where* A *is an unbounded self-adjoint operator in* $\mathcal{H}$*),* $\mathcal{M}_B$ *is bounded normal in* $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ *and for* $X = X^* \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$*, we have* $X_1 = X_1^*$ *and* $X_2 = X_2^*$*, where* $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$ *is the decomposition of* X *in* $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$*.*

(vii) (a) Let $[0,1] \ni \tau \longrightarrow A_\tau \in \mathcal{B}_{s.a}(\mathcal{H})$*(set of bounded self-adjoint operators in* $\mathcal{H}$*) be continuous in operator norm , and let* $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}} \ni X \equiv X_{1\tau} \oplus X_{2\tau}$ *be the self-adjoint decomposition with respect to* A_τ*. Then* $\tau \longrightarrow X_{1\tau},\ X_{2\tau} \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ *are continuous.*

(b) Let $\{A_\tau\}_{\tau\in[0,1]}$ *be a family of unbounded self-adjoint operators in* $\mathcal{H}$ *such that* $[0,1] \ni \tau \longrightarrow (A_\tau + \mathrm{i})^{-1}$ *is continuous in operator norm. Then the conclusions of* $(vii)(a)$ *is valid for the decomposition of* $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$ *with respect to* $B_\tau \equiv (A_\tau + \mathrm{i})^{-1}$*.*

Proof. The proofs of (i) to (iii) are standard and for (iv), we note that since $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathcal{M}_B) = \mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathcal{M}_{B^*})$, $X \in \mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathcal{M}_B)$ if and only if $X^* \in \mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathcal{M}_B)$ and hence for any $X \in \mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathcal{M}_B)$ can be written as $X = \left(\frac{X+X^*}{2}\right) + \mathrm{i}\left(\frac{X-X^*}{2\mathrm{i}}\right)$, proving that $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathcal{M}_B)$ is generated by its self-adjoint elements. Similarly, by a similar argument we conclude that $\overline{\mathrm{Ran}\,(\mathcal{M}_B)}$ is also generated by its self-adjoint elements.

Let $X \in \widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$, and $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$ and $X^* = (X^*)_1 \oplus (X^*)_2$ be the corresponding decompositions of X and X^* in $\widetilde{\mathcal{H}}$. Then for any $Y_1 = Y_1^* \in \mathrm{Ker}\,(\mathcal{M}_B)$,

$$\langle X, Y_1\rangle_2 = \langle X_1, Y_1\rangle_2 = \mathrm{Tr}\{X_1^*Y_1\} = \mathrm{Tr}\{Y_1X_1^*\} = \langle Y_1, X_1^*\rangle_2 = \overline{\langle X_1^*, Y_1\rangle_2}.$$

But on the other hand,

$$\langle X, Y_1\rangle_2 = \mathrm{Tr}\{X^*Y_1\} = \mathrm{Tr}\{(Y_1X)^*\} = \overline{\mathrm{Tr}\{Y_1X\}} = \overline{\langle X^*, Y_1\rangle_2} = \overline{\langle (X^*)_1, Y_1\rangle_2}$$

and hence $\langle (X^*)_1 - X_1^*, Y_1\rangle_2 = 0 \ \forall \ \ Y_1 = Y_1^* \in \operatorname{Ker}(\mathcal{M}_B)$, which implies that

$\langle (X^*)_1 - X_1^*, Y\rangle_2 = 0 \ \ \forall \ \ Y \in \operatorname{Ker}(\mathcal{M}_B)$, proving that $(X^*)_1 = X_1^*$. Similarly, by the same argument we conclude that $(X^*)_2 = X_2^*$.

The result (v) and $(vi(a))$ follows from (iv) and (v) respectively. For $(vi(b))$, it suffices to note that any $X \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ commuting with $(A+\mathrm{i})^{-1}$ commutes with the spectral family $E_A(.)$ of A.

For $(vii(a))$, since the map $[0,1] \ni \tau \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{A_\tau}$ is holomorphic, then (using **Theorem 1.8**, page 370, [7]) we conclude that the map $[0,1] \ni \tau \longrightarrow P_0(\tau)$ (where $P_0(\tau)$ is the projection onto $\operatorname{Ker}(\mathcal{M}_{A_\tau})$) is continuous and since $X_{1\tau} \equiv P_0(\tau)X$ we get that the map $[0,1] \ni \tau \longrightarrow X_{1\tau}$ is continuous. Similarly, since the map $[0,1] \ni \tau \longrightarrow I - P_0(\tau)$ is continuous and $X_{2\tau} = (I - P_0(\tau))X$ then we conclude that the map $[0,1] \ni \tau \longrightarrow X_{2\tau}$ is also continuous.

Conclusions of $(vii(b))$ follows immediately from $(vii(a))$ since the map $[0,1] \ni \tau \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}_{(A_\tau+\mathrm{i})^{-1}}$ is holomorphic, and since $\mathcal{M}_{(A_\tau+\mathrm{i})^{-1}}$ is normal for each τ.

Remark 2.4. *Let A and V be two bounded self-adjoint operators in an infinite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ such that $V \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ and $A_\tau = A + \tau V$ $(0 \le \tau \le 1)$. Apply Lemma 2.3 with $B = A$ and A_τ respectively to get $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 = V_{1\tau} \oplus V_{2\tau}$, with V_j and $V_{j\tau}$ $(j = 1, 2)$ self-adjoint and therefore $\|V\|_2^2 = \|V_1\|_2^2 + \|V_2\|_2^2 = \|V_{1\tau}\|_2^2 + \|V_{2\tau}\|_2^2 \ \ \forall\ 0 \le \tau \le 1$.*

Theorem 2.5. *Let A and V be two bounded self-adjoint operators in an infinite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ such that $V \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$. Then there exist a unique real-valued function $\eta \in L^1([a,b])$ such that*

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left[p(A+V) - p(A) - D^{(1)}p(A)(V) - \frac{1}{2}D^{(2)}p(A)(V,V)\right] = \int_a^b p'''(\lambda)\eta(\lambda)d\lambda, \qquad (2.5)$$

where $p(.)$ is a polynomial in $[a,b]$, $a = [\inf\sigma(A)] - \|V\|$, $b = [\sup\sigma(A)] + \|V\|$ and $\int\limits_a^b \eta(\lambda)d\lambda = \frac{1}{6}Tr(V^3)$.

Proof. It will be sufficient to prove the theorem for $p(\lambda) = \lambda^r$ $(r \ge 0)$. Note that for $r = 0, 1$ or 2, both sides of (2.5) are identically zero. We set $A_\tau = A + \tau V$ and $0 \le \tau \le 1$. Then by lemma 2.2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\operatorname{Tr}\left[(A+V)^r - A^r - D^{(1)}(A^r)(V) - \frac{1}{2}D^{(2)}(A^r)(V,V)\right]\\ &\qquad = r\sum_{k=0}^{r-2}\ \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau\ \ \operatorname{Tr}\left[VA_\tau^{r-k-2}VA_\tau^k - VA^{r-k-2}VA^k\right]\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= r(r-1)\int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V_{1\tau}^2 A_\tau^{r-2} - V_1^2 A^{r-2}\right] \\
&\quad + \ r\sum_{k=0}^{r-2} \ \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V_{2\tau} A_\tau^{r-k-2} V_{2\tau} A_\tau^k - V_2 A^{r-k-2} V_2 A^k\right],
\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}
$$

where we have also noted the invariance, orthogonality and continuity properties in Lemma 2.3 $(ii)-(vii)$ and set $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 = V_{1\tau} \oplus V_{2\tau} \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ as in Remark 2.4. Using the spectral families $E_\tau(.)$ and $E(.)$ of the self-adjoint operators A_τ and A respectively and integrating by-parts, the first term of the expression (2.6) is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&r(r-1)\int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \int_a^b \lambda^{r-2} \ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V_{1\tau}^2 E_\tau(d\lambda) - V_1^2 E(d\lambda)\right] \\
&\qquad = r(r-1)\int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \{\lambda^{r-2} \ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V_{1\tau}^2 E_\tau(\lambda) - V_1^2 E(\lambda)\right] \big|_{\lambda=a}^b \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad - \int_a^b (r-2)\lambda^{r-3} \ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V_{1\tau}^2 E_\tau(\lambda) - V_1^2 E(\lambda)\right] d\lambda\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= r(r-1)b^{r-2}\int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V_{1\tau}^2 - V_1^2\right] \\
&\quad + r(r-1)(r-2)\int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \int_a^b \lambda^{r-3} \ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V_1^2 E(\lambda) - V_{1\tau}^2 E_\tau(\lambda)\right] d\lambda.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}
$$

Since $V_2 \in \overline{\mathrm{Ran}(\mathcal{M}_A)}$, then there exists a sequence $\{V_2^{(n)}\} \subseteq \mathrm{Ran}(\mathcal{M}_A)$ such that $\|V_2^{(n)} - V_2\|_2 \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$ and $V_2^{(n)} = AY_0^{(n)} - Y_0^{(n)}A$, for a sequence $\{Y_0^{(n)}\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$. Similarly, for every $\tau \in (0,1]$, there exists a sequence $\{V_{2\tau}^{(n)}\} \subseteq \mathrm{Ran}(\mathcal{M}_{A_\tau})$ such that $\|V_{2\tau}^{(n)} - V_{2\tau}\|_2 \longrightarrow 0$ point-wise as $n \longrightarrow \infty$ and $V_{2\tau}^{(n)} = A_\tau Y^{(n)} - Y^{(n)}A_\tau$, for some sequence $\{Y^{(n)}\} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$. Observe that $Y_0^{(n)}$ and $Y^{(n)}$ must be skew-adjoint for each n, since $V_2^{(n)}$ and $V_{2\tau}^{(n)}$ can be chosen to be self-adjoint. Furthermore, by lemma 2.3 $(vii)(a)$, the map $[0,1] \ni \tau \longrightarrow V_{1\tau}, V_{2\tau}$ are continuous.

Hence the second term of the expression (2.6) is equal to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&r\int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \ \lim_{n\to\infty} \ \sum_{k=0}^{r-2} \ \mathrm{Tr}\{V_{2\tau} A_\tau^{r-k-2} V_{2\tau}^{(n)} A_\tau^k - V_2 A^{r-k-2} V_2^{(n)} A^k\} \\
&= r\int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \lim_{n\to\infty} \ \sum_{k=0}^{r-2} \int_a^b \int_a^b \lambda^{r-k-2}\mu^k \ \mathrm{Tr}\{V_{2\tau} E_\tau(d\lambda) V_{2\tau}^{(n)} E_\tau(d\mu) - V_2 E(d\lambda) V_2^{(n)} E(d\mu)\} \\
&= r\int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \ \lim_{n\to\infty} \ \int_a^b \int_a^b \phi(\lambda,\mu) \ \mathrm{Tr}\{V_{2\tau} E_\tau(d\lambda) V_{2\tau}^{(n)} E_\tau(d\mu) - V_2 E(d\lambda) V_2^{(n)} E(d\mu)\},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\phi(\lambda,\mu) = \frac{\lambda^{r-1}-\mu^{r-1}}{\lambda-\mu}$ if $\lambda \neq \mu$; $= (r-1)\lambda^{r-2}$ if $\lambda = \mu$, and where the interchange of the limit and the integration is justified by an application of the bounded convergence theorem. Furthermore using the representation of $V_{2\tau}^{(n)} \in \mathrm{Ran}(\mathcal{M}_{A_\tau})$, the above reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
& r\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_a^b\int_a^b \phi(\lambda,\mu)\ \mathrm{Tr}\{V_{2\tau}E_\tau(d\lambda)\left[A_\tau Y^{(n)} - Y^{(n)}A_\tau\right]E_\tau(d\mu) \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad - V_2E(d\lambda)\left[AY_0^{(n)} - Y_0^{(n)}A\right]E(d\mu)\} \\
&= r\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_a^b\int_a^b \left(\lambda^{r-1} - \mu^{r-1}\right)\mathrm{Tr}\{V_{2\tau}E_\tau(d\lambda)Y^{(n)}E_\tau(d\mu) - V_2E(d\lambda)Y_0^{(n)}E(d\mu)\} \\
&= r\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_a^b \lambda^{r-1}\ \mathrm{Tr}\{V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(d\lambda),Y^{(n)}\right] - V_2\left[E(d\lambda),Y_0^{(n)}\right]\}. \qquad (2.8)
\end{aligned}$$

Again by twice integrating by-parts, the expression in (2.8) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
& r\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau \lim_{n\to\infty} \{\lambda^{r-1}\ \mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(\lambda),Y^{(n)}\right] - V_2\left[E(\lambda),Y_0^{(n)}\right]\right)|_{\lambda=a}^{b} \\
&\qquad\qquad - \int_a^b (r-1)\lambda^{r-2}\ \mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(\lambda),Y^{(n)}\right] - V_2\left[E(\lambda),Y_0^{(n)}\right]\right)d\lambda\} \\
&= -r(r-1)\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_a^b \lambda^{r-2}\ \mathrm{Tr}\{V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(\lambda),Y^{(n)}\right] - V_2\left[E(\lambda),Y_0^{(n)}\right]\}\ d\lambda \\
&= -r(r-1)\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau \lim_{n\to\infty} \{\lambda^{r-2}\left(\int_a^\lambda \mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(\mu),Y^{(n)}\right] - V_2\left[E(\mu),Y_0^{(n)}\right]\right)d\mu\right)\}|_{\lambda=a}^{b} \\
&\quad + r(r-1)\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_a^b (r-2)\lambda^{r-3}\left(\int_a^\lambda \mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(\mu),Y^{(n)}\right] - V_2\left[E(\mu),Y_0^{(n)}\right]\right)d\mu\right)d\lambda \\
&= -r(r-1)b^{r-2}\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_a^b \mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(\mu),Y^{(n)}\right] - V_2\left[E(\mu),Y_0^{(n)}\right]\right)d\mu \\
&\quad + r(r-1)(r-2)\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_a^b \lambda^{r-3}\left(\int_a^\lambda \mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(\mu),Y^{(n)}\right] - V_2\left[E(\mu),Y_0^{(n)}\right]\right)d\mu\right)d\lambda. \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad (2.9)
\end{aligned}$$

Next we note that by an integration by-parts,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}^2 - V_2^2\right) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}V_{2\tau}^{(n)} - V_2V_2^{(n)}\right) = \lim_{n\to\infty}\mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[A_\tau,Y^{(n)}\right] - V_2\left[A,Y_0^{(n)}\right]\right) \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_a^b \mu\mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(d\mu),Y^{(n)}\right] - V_2\left[E(d\mu),Y_0^{(n)}\right]\right) \\
&= \lim_{n\to\infty}\left[\mu\mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(\mu),Y^{(n)}\right] - V_2\left[E(\mu),Y_0^{(n)}\right]\right)|_{\mu=a}^{b} - \int_a^b \mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(\mu),Y^{(n)}\right] - V_2\left[E(\mu),Y_0^{(n)}\right]\right)d\mu\right].
\end{aligned}$$

The boundary term above vanishes and substituting the above in the first expression in (2.9), we get that the right hand side of (2.9)

$$= r(r-1)b^{r-2}\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau\ \mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}^2 - V_2^2\right) + r(r-1)(r-2)\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_a^b \lambda^{r-3}\eta_{2\tau}^{(n)}(\lambda)d\lambda,$$

where $\eta_{2\tau}^{(n)}(\lambda) = \int\limits_a^\lambda \mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(\mu), Y^{(n)}\right] - V_2\left[E(\mu), Y_0^{(n)}\right]\right) d\mu$.

Hence

$$r\sum_{k=0}^{r-2}\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V_{2\tau}A_\tau^{r-k-2}V_{2\tau}A_\tau^k - V_2A^{r-k-2}V_2A^k\right]$$
$$= r(r-1)b^{r-2}\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau\ \mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}^2 - V_2^2\right) + r(r-1)(r-2)\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_a^b \lambda^{r-3}\eta_{2\tau}^{(n)}(\lambda)d\lambda. \tag{2.10}$$

Combining (2.7) and (2.10) and since $\|V\|_2^2 = \mathrm{Tr}\,(V_{1\tau}^2 + V_{2\tau}^2) = \mathrm{Tr}\,(V_1^2 + V_2^2)$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathrm{Tr}\left[(A+V)^r - A^r - D^{(1)}(A^r)(V) - \frac{1}{2}D^{(2)}(A^r)(V,V)\right]\\
&\qquad = r(r-1)(r-2)\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau\int_a^b \lambda^{r-3}\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V_1^2E(\lambda) - V_{1\tau}^2E_\tau(\lambda)\right]d\lambda\\
&\qquad\qquad + r(r-1)(r-2)\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_a^b \lambda^{r-3}\ \eta_{2\tau}^{(n)}(\lambda)d\lambda\\
&\qquad = r(r-1)(r-2)\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_a^b \lambda^{r-3}\ \eta_\tau^{(n)}(\lambda)d\lambda\\
&\qquad = \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_a^b (\lambda^r)'''\ \eta^{(n)}(\lambda)d\lambda\ , \quad \text{where}
\end{aligned}$$

$\eta^{(n)}(\lambda) \equiv \int\limits_0^1 ds\int\limits_0^s d\tau\ \eta_\tau^{(n)}(\lambda)$ and $\eta_\tau^{(n)}(\lambda) = \left[\ \mathrm{Tr}\{V_1^2E(\lambda) - V_{1\tau}^2E_\tau(\lambda)\} + \eta_{2\tau}^{(n)}(\lambda)\right]$, the interchange of limit and the τ- and s- integral is justified by an easy application of bounded convergence theorem. Note that $\eta^{(n)}$ is a real-valued function $\forall\ n$.

Next we want to show that $\{\eta^{(n)}\}$ is cauchy in $L^1([a,b])$ and we follow the idea from [6]. For that let $f \in L^\infty([a,b])$. Define $g(\lambda) = \int\limits_a^\lambda f(t)dt$ and $h(\lambda) = \int\limits_a^\lambda g(\mu)d\mu$, then $g'(\lambda) = f(\lambda)$ a.e. and $h'(\lambda) = g(\lambda)$. Now consider the expression

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_a^b f(\lambda)\left[\eta_\tau^{(n)}(\lambda) - \eta_\tau^{(m)}(\lambda)\right]d\lambda = \int_a^b f(\lambda)\left[\eta_{2\tau}^{(n)}(\lambda) - \eta_{2\tau}^{(m)}(\lambda)\right]d\lambda\\
&\qquad = \int_a^b h''(\lambda)d\lambda\left(\int_a^\lambda \mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(\mu), Y^{(n)} - Y^{(m)}\right] - V_2\left[E(\mu), Y_0^{(n)} - Y_0^{(m)}\right]\right)d\mu\right),
\end{aligned}$$

which on integration by-parts twice and on observing that the boundary term for $\lambda = a$ vanishes, leads to

$$
\begin{aligned}
h'(b) \int_a^b & \operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(\mu), Y^{(n)} - Y^{(m)}\right] - V_2\left[E(\mu), Y_0^{(n)} - Y_0^{(m)}\right]\right) d\mu \\
& - \{h(\lambda)\operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(\lambda), Y^{(n)} - Y^{(m)}\right] - V_2\left[E(\lambda), Y_0^{(n)} - Y_0^{(m)}\right]\right) |_{\lambda=a}^{b} \\
& \qquad - \int_a^b h(\lambda)\operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(d\lambda), Y^{(n)} - Y^{(m)}\right] - V_2\left[E(d\lambda), Y_0^{(n)} - Y_0^{(m)}\right]\right)\} \\
= h'(b) \int_a^b & \operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(\mu), Y^{(n)} - Y^{(m)}\right] - V_2\left[E(\mu), Y_0^{(n)} - Y_0^{(m)}\right]\right) d\mu \\
& + \int_a^b h(\lambda)\operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(d\lambda), Y^{(n)} - Y^{(m)}\right] - V_2\left[E(d\lambda), Y_0^{(n)} - Y_0^{(m)}\right]\right).
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.11}
$$

Next we use the identity

$$
\operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}V_{2\tau}^{(n)} - V_2V_2^{(n)}\right) = -\int_a^b \operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(\mu), Y^{(n)}\right] - V_2\left[E(\mu), Y_0^{(n)}\right]\right) d\mu
$$

to reduce the the above expression in (2.11) to

$$
g(b)\operatorname{Tr}\left(V_2\left[V_2^{(n)} - V_2^{(m)}\right] - V_{2\tau}\left[V_{2\tau}^{(n)} - V_{2\tau}^{(m)}\right]\right) + \operatorname{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[h(A_\tau), Y^{(n)} - Y^{(m)}\right] - V_2\left[h(A), Y_0^{(n)} - Y_0^{(m)}\right]\right).
\tag{2.12}
$$

But on the other hand,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left[h(A), Y^{(n)}\right] &= \int_a^b \int_a^b \frac{h(\lambda) - h(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu} \; E(d\lambda)V_2^{(n)}E(d\mu), \quad \text{and} \\
\operatorname{Tr}\left(V_2\left[h(A), Y_0^{(n)} - Y_0^{(m)}\right]\right) &= \int_a^b \int_a^b \frac{h(\lambda) - h(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu} \operatorname{Tr}\left(V_2 E(d\lambda)\left[V_2^{(n)} - V_2^{(m)}\right] E(d\mu)\right)
\end{aligned}
\tag{2.13}
$$

and hence as in Birman-Solomyak ([2],[3]) and in [4] ,

$$
\left|\operatorname{Tr}\left(V_2\left[h(A), Y_0^{(n)} - Y_0^{(m)}\right]\right)\right| \le \|h\|_{Lip}\|V_2\|_2 \left\|\left[V_2^{(n)} - V_2^{(m)}\right]\right\|_2 \le (b-a)\|f\|_\infty\|V\|_2 \left\|\left[V_2^{(n)} - V_2^{(m)}\right]\right\|_2
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left|\int_a^b f(\lambda)\left[\eta_\tau^{(n)}(\lambda) - \eta_\tau^{(m)}(\lambda)\right] d\lambda\right|}{\|f\|_\infty} &\le 2(b-a)\|V\|_2 \left(\left\|\left[V_2^{(n)} - V_2^{(m)}\right]\right\|_2 + \left\|\left[V_{2\tau}^{(n)} - V_{2\tau}^{(m)}\right]\right\|_2\right) \\
\text{i.e.} \quad \|\eta_\tau^{(n)} - \eta_\tau^{(m)}\|_{L^1} &\le 2(b-a)\|V\|_2 \left(\left\|\left[V_2^{(n)} - V_2^{(m)}\right]\right\|_2 + \left\|\left[V_{2\tau}^{(n)} - V_{2\tau}^{(m)}\right]\right\|_2\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

which converges to 0 as $n, m \to \infty$ and $\forall \ \tau \in [0,1]$. A similar computation also shows that $\|\eta_\tau^{(n)}\|_{L^1} \leq 2(b-a)\|V\|_2^2$. Therefore $\{\int\limits_0^1 ds \int\limits_0^s d\tau \ \eta_\tau^{(n)}(\lambda) \equiv \eta^{(n)}(\lambda)\}$ is also cauchy in $L^1([a,b])$ and thus there exists a function $\eta \in L^1([a,b])$ such that $\|\eta^{(n)} - \eta\|_{L^1} \to 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, by the bounded convergence theorem and hence also $\|\eta\|_{L^1} \leq (b-a)\|V\|_2^2$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{n\longrightarrow\infty} \int_a^b \lambda^{r-3}\eta^{(n)}(\lambda)d\lambda = \int_a^b \lambda^{r-3}\eta(\lambda)d\lambda \quad \text{and hence}$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left[(A+V)^r - A^r - D^{(1)}(A^r)(V) - \frac{1}{2}D^{(2)}(A^r)(V,V)\right] = r(r-1)(r-2)\int_a^b \lambda^{r-3}\eta(\lambda)d\lambda.$$

For uniqueness, let us assume that there exists $\eta_1, \ \eta_2 \in L^1([a,b])$ such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left[p(A+V) - p(A) - D^{(1)}p(A)(V) - \frac{1}{2}D^{(2)}p(A)(V,V)\right] = \int_a^b p'''(\lambda)\eta_j(\lambda)d\lambda,$$

where $p(.)$ is a polynomial and $j = 1, 2$. Therefore

$$\int_a^b p'''(\lambda) \ \eta(\lambda) \ d\lambda = 0 \ \ \forall \quad \text{polynomials} \quad p(.) \quad \text{and} \quad \eta \equiv \eta_1 - \eta_2 \in L^1([a,b]),$$

which together with the fact that $\int_a^b \eta_1(\lambda) \ d\lambda = \int_a^b \eta_2(\lambda) \ d\lambda = \frac{1}{6}\mathrm{Tr}(V^3)$ (which one can easily arrive at by setting $p(\lambda) = \lambda^3$ in the above formula), implies that

$\int_a^b \lambda^r \eta(\lambda)d\lambda = 0 \ \ \forall \ \ r \geq 0.$ Hence by an application of Fubini's theorem, we get that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda} \ \eta(\lambda) \ d\lambda \ = \ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (-\mathrm{i}t\lambda)^n \ \eta(\lambda) \ d\lambda = 0.$$

Hence

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}t\lambda} \ \eta(\lambda) \ d\lambda = 0 \ \forall \ t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Therefore η is an $L^1([a,b])$- function whose Fourier transform $\hat{\eta}(t)$ vanishes identically, implying that $\eta = 0$ or $\eta_1 = \eta_2$ a.e. □

Corollary 2.6. *Let A and V be two bounded self-adjoint operators in an infinite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ such that $V \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$. Then the function $\eta \in L^1([a,b])$ obtained as in theorem 2.5 satisfies the following equation*

$$\int_a^b f(\lambda)\eta(\lambda)d\lambda = \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \int_a^b \int_a^b \frac{h(\lambda) - h(\mu)}{\lambda - \mu} \ \mathrm{Tr}\left[VE_\tau(d\lambda)VE_\tau(d\mu) - VE(d\lambda)VE(d\mu)\right],$$

where $f(\lambda)$, $g(\lambda)$ and $h(\lambda)$ are as in the proof of the theorem 2.5.

Proof. By Fubini's theorem we have that

$$\int_a^b f(\lambda)\eta^{(n)}(\lambda)d\lambda = \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \int_a^b f(\lambda) \Big[\ \mathrm{Tr}\{V_1^2 E(\lambda) - V_{1\tau}^2 E_\tau(\lambda)\} + \eta_{2\tau}^{(n)}(\lambda)\Big]\, d\lambda.$$

But

$$\int_a^b f(\lambda)\ \mathrm{Tr}\{V_1^2 E(\lambda) - V_{1\tau}^2 E_\tau(\lambda)\} d\lambda = \int_a^b g'(\lambda)\mathrm{Tr}\left[V_1^2 E(\lambda) - V_{1\tau}^2 E_\tau(\lambda)\right] d\lambda,$$

which by integrating by-parts leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & g(b)\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V_1^2 - V_{1\tau}^2\right] + \int_a^b g(\lambda)\mathrm{Tr}\left[V_{1\tau}^2 E_\tau(d\lambda) - V_1^2 E(d\lambda)\right] \\ & = g(b)\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V_1^2 - V_{1\tau}^2\right] + \mathrm{Tr}\left[V_{1\tau}^2 h'(A_\tau) - V_1^2 h'(A)\right] \\ & = g(b)\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V_1^2 - V_{1\tau}^2\right] + \int_a^b \int_a^b \frac{h(\lambda)-h(\mu)}{\lambda-\mu}\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V_{1\tau}E_\tau(d\lambda)V_{1\tau}E_\tau(d\mu) - V_1 E(d\lambda)V_1 E(d\mu)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

Again by repeating the same above calculations to get (2.12) and (2.13) as in the proof of the theorem 2.5, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_a^b f(\lambda)\eta_{2\tau}^{(n)}(\lambda)d\lambda &= g(b)\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V_2 V_2^{(n)} - V_{2\tau}V_{2\tau}^{(n)}\right] \\ & \quad + \int_a^b \int_a^b \frac{h(\lambda)-h(\mu)}{\lambda-\mu}\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V_{2\tau}E_\tau(d\lambda)V_{2\tau}^{(n)}E_\tau(d\mu) - V_2 E(d\lambda)V_2^{(n)}E(d\mu)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

Combining (2.14) and (2.15) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_a^b f(\lambda)\eta^{(n)}(\lambda)d\lambda \\ & = \mathrm{Tr}\left[\left(V_1^2 + V_2V_2^{(n)}\right) - \left(V_{2\tau}^2 + V_{2\tau}V_{2\tau}^{(n)}\right)\right] \\ & + \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \int_a^b \int_a^b \frac{h(\lambda)-h(\mu)}{\lambda-\mu}\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[VE_\tau(d\lambda)\left(V_{1\tau}\oplus V_{2\tau}^{(n)}\right)E_\tau(d\mu) - VE(d\lambda)\left(V_1 \oplus V_2^{(n)}\right)E(d\mu)\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

But by definition $V_2^{(n)}, V_{2\tau}^{(n)}$ converges to $V_2, V_{2\tau}$ respectively in $\|.\|_2$ and we have already proved that $\eta^{(n)}$ converges to η in $L^1([a,b])$. Hence by taking limit on both sides of (2.16) we get that

$$\int_a^b f(\lambda)\eta(\lambda)d\lambda = \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \int_a^b \int_a^b \frac{h(\lambda)-h(\mu)}{\lambda-\mu}\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[VE_\tau(d\lambda)VE_\tau(d\mu) - VE(d\lambda)VE(d\mu)\right]. \tag{2.17}$$

In the right hand side of (2.17) we have used the fact that $Var\left(\mathcal{G}_2^{(n)} - \mathcal{G}_2\right) \leq \ \|V\|_2 \left(\|V_{2\tau}^{(n)} - V_{2\tau}\|_2 + \ \|V_2 - V_2^{(n)}\|\right) \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, where $\mathcal{G}_2^{(n)}(\Delta \times \delta) = \operatorname{Tr}\left[VE_\tau(\Delta)\left(V_{1\tau} \oplus V_{2\tau}^{(n)}\right)E_\tau(\delta) - VE(\Delta)\left(V_1 \oplus V_2^{(n)}\right)E(\delta)\right]$ and $\mathcal{G}_2\left(\Delta \times \delta\right) = \operatorname{Tr}\left[VE_\tau(\Delta)VE_\tau(\delta) - VE(\Delta)VE(\delta)\right]$ are complex measures on $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $Var\left(\mathcal{G}_2^{(n)} - \mathcal{G}_2\right)$ is the variation of $\left(\mathcal{G}_2^{(n)} - \mathcal{G}_2\right)$ and also noted that $\|g\|_{\text{Lip}} \leq (b-a)\|f\|_\infty$. $\square$

3 Unbounded Case

Theorem 3.1. *Let A be an unbounded self-adjoint operator in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ and let $\phi : \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be such that $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\hat{\phi}(t)| \ (1+|t|)^3 \ dt < \infty$, where $\hat{\phi}$ is the Fourier transform of ϕ. Then $\phi(A),\ D^{(1)}\phi(A),\ D^{(2)}\phi(A)$ exist and*

$$\left[D^{(1)}\phi(A)\right](X) = \mathrm{i}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\hat{\phi}(t)dt\int_0^t d\beta\ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta A}X\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A} \quad \textit{and}$$

$$\left[D^{(2)}\phi(A)\right](X,Y) = \mathrm{i}^2\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\hat{\phi}(t)dt\int_0^t d\beta\ \{\int_0^\beta d\nu\ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A}X\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\beta-\nu)A}Y\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A} + \int_0^{t-\beta} d\nu\ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta A}Y\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A}X\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta-\nu)A}\},$$

where $X, Y \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$.

Proof. That $\phi(A)$ and the expressions on the right hand side above exist in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are consequences of the functional calculus and the assumption on $\hat{\phi}$. Next

$$\phi(A+X) - \phi(A) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\hat{\phi}(t)\left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t(A+X)} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tA}\right]dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\hat{\phi}(t)dt\int_0^t d\beta\ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta(A+X)}\mathrm{i}X\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A}.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(A+X) - \phi(A) - \mathrm{i}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\hat{\phi}(t)dt\int_0^t d\beta\ e^{\mathrm{i}\beta A}Xe^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A} \\ = \mathrm{i}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\hat{\phi}(t)dt\int_0^t d\beta\ \left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta(A+X)}X\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta A}X\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A}\right].\end{aligned}$$

Using the interpolation inequality

$$\left\|\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta(A+X)} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta A}\right\| \leq 2^{(1-\epsilon)}\ (\beta\|X\|)^\epsilon \ \ (0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1), \quad \text{we get that}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\phi(A+X) - \phi(A) - \mathrm{i}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\hat{\phi}(t)dt\int_0^t d\beta\ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta A}X\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A}\right\| \\ \leq \left(\frac{2^{(1-\epsilon)}}{\epsilon+1}\right)\|X\|^{\epsilon+1}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\hat{\phi}(t)|\ (1+|t|)^{\epsilon+2}\ dt,\end{aligned}$$

which by virtue of the assumption on $\hat{\phi}$ implies that $D^{(1)}\phi(A)$ exist and that

$$\left[D^{(1)}\phi(A)\right](X) = \mathrm{i}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\hat{\phi}(t)dt\int_0^t d\beta\ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta A}X\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A}.\quad \text{Similarly for}\quad X, Y \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}),$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\left[D^{(1)}\phi(A+X)\right](Y) - \left[D^{(1)}\phi(A)\right](Y)\\ &\quad = \mathrm{i}^2\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\hat{\phi}(t)dt\int_0^t d\beta\ \{\int_0^{\beta} d\nu\ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu(A+X)}X\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\beta-\nu)A}Y\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)(A+X)}\\ &\qquad + \int_0^{t-\beta} d\nu\ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta A}Y\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu(A+X)}X\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta-\nu)A}\}\end{aligned}$$

and one can verify as before that

$$\begin{aligned}&\|\left[D^{(1)}\phi(A+X)\right](Y) - \left[D^{(1)}\phi(A)\right](Y)\\ &\quad - \mathrm{i}^2\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\hat{\phi}(t)dt\int_0^t d\beta\ \{\int_0^{\beta} d\nu\ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A}X\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\beta-\nu)A}Y\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A}\\ &\qquad + \int_0^{t-\beta} d\nu\ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta A}Y\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A}X\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta-\nu)A}\}\|\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq\ K\|X\|^{\epsilon+1}\|Y\|\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}|\hat{\phi}(t)|\ (1+|t|)^{\epsilon+2}\ dt\quad (\text{for some } \epsilon > 0 \text{ and some constant}\quad K \equiv K(\epsilon)),$$

proving the expression for $\left[D^{(2)}\phi(A)\right](X,Y)$. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let A be an unbounded self-adjoint operator in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, V be a self-adjoint operator such that $V \in \mathcal{B}_3(\mathcal{H})$ and furthermore let $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ (the Schwartz class of smooth functions of rapid decrease). Then*

$$\phi(A+V) - \phi(A) - \left[D^{(1)}\phi(A)\right](V) - \frac{1}{2}\left[D^{(2)}\phi(A)\right](V,V) \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})\quad \textit{and}$$

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathrm{Tr}\left[\phi(A+V) - \phi(A) - \left[D^{(1)}\phi(A)\right](V) - \frac{1}{2}\left[D^{(2)}\phi(A)\right](V,V)\right]\\ &\quad = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{i}^2\ t\hat{\phi}(t)\ dt\int_0^t d\nu\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\nu)A_\tau}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A_\tau} - V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\nu)A}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A}\right],\end{aligned}$$

where $A_\tau = A + \tau V$ and $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$.

Proof. Since $[0,1] \ni s \longrightarrow \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tA_s}$ is $\mathcal{B}_3(\mathcal{H})$-continuously differentiable, uniformly in t,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(A+V) - \phi(A) - \left[D^{(1)}\phi(A)\right](V) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\hat{\phi}(t)\ dt\left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t(A+v)} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tA}\right] - \left[D^{(1)}\phi(A)\right](V)\\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\hat{\phi}(t)\ dt\int_0^1 ds\frac{d}{ds}(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}tA_s}) - \mathrm{i}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\hat{\phi}(t)\ dt\int_0^t d\beta\ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta A}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A}\\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\hat{\phi}(t)\ dt\left[\int_0^1 ds\int_0^t d\beta\ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta A_s}\mathrm{i}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A_s} - \mathrm{i}\int_0^1 ds\int_0^t d\beta\ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta A}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A}\right]\end{aligned}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{i}\hat{\phi}(t)\ dt \int_0^1 ds \int_0^t d\beta \left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta A_s} V \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A_s} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta A} V \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A}\right] \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{i}\hat{\phi}(t)\ Q(t)\ dt, \quad \text{where} \quad Q(t) \equiv \int_0^1 ds \int_0^t d\beta \left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta A_s} V \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A_s} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta A} V \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A}\right].
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.1}
$$

As before, $\tau \in [0,1] \longrightarrow \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta A_\tau} V \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A_\tau} \in \mathcal{B}_3(\mathcal{H})$ is $\mathcal{B}_{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathcal{H})-$ continuously differentiable, uniformly with respect to β. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
&Q(t) = \int_0^1 ds \int_0^t d\beta \int_0^s d\tau \frac{d}{d\tau}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta A_\tau} V \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A_\tau}\right) \\
&= \mathrm{i} \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \int_0^t d\beta\ \{ \int_0^\beta d\nu \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A_\tau} V \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\beta-\nu)A_\tau} V \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A_\tau} + \int_0^{t-\beta} d\nu \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta A_\tau} V \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A_\tau} V \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta-\nu)A_\tau} \},
\end{aligned}
$$

where Fubini's theorem has been used to interchange the order of integration. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\phi(A+V) - \phi(A) - \left[D^{(1)}\phi(A)\right](V) - \frac{1}{2}\left[D^{(2)}\phi(A)\right](V,V) \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{i}^2 \hat{\phi}(t) dt \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \int_0^t d\beta \{ \int_0^\beta d\nu \left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A_\tau} V \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\beta-\nu)A_\tau} V e^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A_\tau} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A} V \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\beta-\nu)A} V \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A}\right] \\
&\qquad + \int_0^{t-\beta} d\nu \left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta A_\tau} V \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A_\tau} V \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta-\nu)A_\tau} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta A} V \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A} V \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta-\nu)A}\right] \}
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.2}
$$

Though each of the four individual terms in the integral in (3.2) belong to $\mathcal{B}_{\frac{3}{2}}(\mathcal{H})$, each of the differences in parenthesis [.] belong to $\mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H})$, e.g.

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A_\tau} V \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\beta-\nu)A_\tau} V \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A_\tau} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A} V \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\beta-\nu)A} V \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A}\right] \\
&\quad = \left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A_\tau} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A}\right] V e^{\mathrm{i}(\beta-\nu)A_\tau} V \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A_\tau} + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A} V \left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\beta-\nu)A_\tau} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\beta-\nu)A_\tau}\right] V \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A_\tau} \\
&\qquad + \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\beta-\nu)A_\tau} V \left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A_\tau} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A_\tau}\right] \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}),
\end{aligned}
$$

and its norm $\|[.]\|_1 \ \le \ |\tau|\ \|V\|_3^3\ \{|\nu| + |\beta-\nu| + |t-\beta|\}$, where we have used the estimate: $\left\|\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A_\tau} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A}\right\|_3 \le |\nu\tau| \|V\|_3$. Hence by the hypothesis on $\hat{\phi}$,

$$
\phi(A+V) - \phi(A) - \left[D^{(1)}\phi(A)\right](V) - \frac{1}{2}\left[D^{(2)}\phi(A)\right](V,V) \in \mathcal{B}_1(\mathcal{H}) \quad \text{and}
$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{Z} &\equiv \mathrm{Tr}\left[\phi(A+V)-\phi(A)-\left[D^{(1)}\phi(A)\right](V)-\frac{1}{2}\left[D^{(2)}\phi(A)\right](V,V)\right]\\ &=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{i}^2\hat{\phi}(t)dt\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau\int_0^t d\beta\ \{\int_0^{\beta}d\nu\mathrm{Tr}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A_\tau}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\beta-\nu)A_\tau}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A_\tau}-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\beta-\nu)A}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta)A}\right]\\ &\qquad+\int_0^{t-\beta}d\nu\mathrm{Tr}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta A_\tau}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A_\tau}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta-\nu)A_\tau}-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\beta A}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta-\nu)A}\right]\}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.3}$$

Again by the cyclicity of trace and a change of variable, the first integral in $\{.\}$ in (3.3) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^{\beta}d\nu\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta+\nu)A_\tau}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\beta-\nu)A_\tau}V-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\beta+\nu)A}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\beta-\nu)A}V\right]\\ &\qquad=\int_0^{\beta}d\nu\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\nu)A_\tau}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A_\tau}-V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\nu)A}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A}\right].\end{aligned}\tag{3.4}$$

Similarly, the second integral in $\{.\}$ in (3.3) is equal to

$$\int_0^{t-\beta}d\nu\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\nu)A_\tau}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A_\tau}-V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\nu)A}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A}\right].\tag{3.5}$$

Combining (3.4) and (3.5), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathscr{Z}&=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{i}^2\hat{\phi}(t)dt\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau\int_0^t d\beta\ \{\int_0^{\beta}d\nu\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\nu)A_\tau}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A_\tau}-V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\nu)A}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A}\right]\\ &\qquad+\int_0^{t-\beta}d\nu\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\nu)A_\tau}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A_\tau}-V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\nu)A}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A}\right]\}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.6}$$

By a change of variable and the cyclicity of trace, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}&t\int_0^{t}d\nu\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\nu)A_\tau}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A_\tau}-V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\nu)A}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A}\right]\\ &\qquad=\int_0^t d\beta\ \{\int_0^{\beta}d\nu\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\nu)A_\tau}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A_\tau}-V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\nu)A}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A}\right]\\ &\qquad\qquad+\int_0^{t-\beta}d\nu\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\nu)A_\tau}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A_\tau}-V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\nu)A}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A}\right]\},\end{aligned}$$

using which in (3.6) we are lead to the equation

$$\mathscr{Z}=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{i}^2\ t\hat{\phi}(t)dt\int_0^t d\nu\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\nu)A_\tau}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A_\tau}-V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\nu)A}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A}\right],\tag{3.7}$$

by an application of Fubini's theorem. □

Theorem 3.3. *Let A be an unbounded self-adjoint operator in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with $\sigma(A) \subseteq [b, \infty)$ for some $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and V be a self-adjoint operator such that $V \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$. Then there exist a unique real-valued function $\eta \in L^1\left(\mathbb{R}, \frac{d\lambda}{(1+\lambda^2)^{1+\epsilon}}\right)$ (for some $\epsilon > 0$) such that for every $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R})$ (the Schwartz class of smooth functions of rapid decrease)*

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left[\phi(A+V) - \phi(A) - \left[D^{(1)}\phi(A)\right](V) - \frac{1}{2}\left[D^{(2)}\phi(A)\right](V,V)\right] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi'''(\lambda)\eta(\lambda)d\lambda.$$

Proof. Equation (3.7), after an application of Fubini's theprem, yields that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Z} &\equiv \operatorname{Tr}\left[\phi(A+V) - \phi(A) - \left[D^{(1)}\phi(A)\right](V) - \frac{1}{2}\left[D^{(2)}\phi(A)\right](V,V)\right] \\ &= \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{i}^2\ t\hat{\phi}(t)dt \int_0^t d\nu\ \operatorname{Tr}\left[V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\nu)A_\tau}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A_\tau} - V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\nu)A}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A}\right]. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^t d\nu\ \operatorname{Tr}\left[V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\nu)A_\tau}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A_\tau} - V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\nu)A}V\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu A}\right] \\ &\qquad = \int_0^t d\nu \int_a^{\infty}\int_a^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\nu)\lambda}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu\mu}\ \operatorname{Tr}\left[VE_\tau(d\lambda)VE_\tau(d\mu) - VE(d\lambda)VE(d\mu)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.9}$$

where $a = \min\{b,\ \inf\sigma(A_\tau)\ [0 < \tau \leq 1]\}$ and $E_\tau(.)$ and $E(.)$ are the spectral families of the operator A_τ and A respectively and the measure $\mathcal{G} : \Delta \times \delta \subseteq Borel(\mathbb{R}^2) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Tr}\left[VE_\tau(\Delta)VE_\tau(\delta) - VE(\Delta)VE(\delta)\right]$ is a complex measure with total variation $\leq 2\|V\|_2^2$ and hence by Fubini's theorem the right hand side expression in (3.9) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_a^{\infty}\int_a^{\infty}\int_0^t d\nu\ \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(t-\nu)\lambda}\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\nu\mu}\ \operatorname{Tr}\left[VE_\tau(d\lambda)VE_\tau(d\mu) - VE(d\lambda)VE(d\mu)\right] \\ &= \int_a^{\infty}\int_a^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\lambda} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\mu}}{\mathrm{i}(\lambda-\mu)}\ \operatorname{Tr}\left[VE_\tau(d\lambda)VE_\tau(d\mu) - VE(d\lambda)VE(d\mu)\right] \\ &= \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{i}^2\ t\hat{\phi}(t)dt \int_a^{\infty} t\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\lambda}\ \operatorname{Tr}\left[V_{1\tau}^2E_\tau(d\lambda) - V_1^2E(d\lambda)\right] \\ &\quad + \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{i}^2\ t\hat{\phi}(t)dt \int_a^{\infty}\int_a^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\lambda} - \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\mu}}{\mathrm{i}(\lambda-\mu)}\ \operatorname{Tr}\left[V_{2\tau}E_\tau(d\lambda)V_{2\tau}E_\tau(d\mu) - V_2E(d\lambda)V_2E(d\mu)\right], \end{aligned} \tag{3.10}$$

where we have set $V = V_1 \oplus V_2 = V_{1\tau} \oplus V_{2\tau} \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ as in Lemma 2.3 *vi*(*b*). Applying

Fubini's theorem in the first expression in right hand side of (3.10), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{i}^2\ t\hat{\phi}(t)dt\int_a^{\infty}\ t\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\lambda}\mathrm{Tr}\left[V_{1\tau}^2E_\tau(d\lambda)-V_1^2E(d\lambda)\right]&\\ =\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau\int_a^{\infty}\ \phi''(\lambda)\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V_{1\tau}^2E_\tau(d\lambda)-V_1^2E(d\lambda)\right]&\\ =\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau\int_a^{\infty}\ \phi'''(\lambda)\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V_1^2E(\lambda)-V_{1\tau}^2E_\tau(\lambda)\right]d\lambda,&\end{aligned}$$

where we have integrated by-parts and observed that the boundary term vanishes. Thus the first term in (3.10) is equal to

$$\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau\int_a^{\infty}\ \phi'''(\lambda)\ \eta_{1\tau}(\lambda)\ d\lambda,\quad\text{where}\quad \eta_{1\tau}(\lambda)=\mathrm{Tr}\left[V_1^2E(\lambda)-V_{1\tau}^2E_\tau(\lambda)\right].\tag{3.11}$$

Now consider the second expression in the right hand side of (3.10) :

$$\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{i}^2\ t\hat{\phi}(t)dt\int_a^{\infty}\int_a^{\infty}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\lambda}-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\mu}}{\mathrm{i}(\lambda-\mu)}\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V_{2\tau}E_\tau(d\lambda)V_{2\tau}E_\tau(d\mu)-V_2E(d\lambda)V_2E(d\mu)\right]\tag{3.12}$$

Since $V_{2\tau}\in\left[\mathrm{Ker}\left(\mathcal{M}_{(A_\tau+\mathrm{i})^{-1}}\right)\right]^{\perp}=\overline{\mathrm{Ran}\left(\mathcal{M}^*_{(A_\tau+\mathrm{i})^{-1}}\right)}=\overline{\mathrm{Ran}\left(\mathcal{M}_{(A_\tau-\mathrm{i})^{-1}}\right)}$, there exists a sequence $\{V_{2\tau}^{(n)}\}\subseteq\mathrm{Ran}\left(\mathcal{M}_{(A_\tau-\mathrm{i})^{-1}}\right)$ i.e. $V_{2\tau}^{(n)}=(A_\tau-\mathrm{i})^{-1}Y^{(n)}-Y^{(n)}(A_\tau-\mathrm{i})^{-1}$ for some $Y^{(n)}\in\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ such that $V_{2\tau}^{(n)}\longrightarrow V_{2\tau}$ in $\|.\|_2$. Similarly, there exists a sequence $\{V_2^{(n)}\}\subseteq\mathrm{Ran}\left(\mathcal{M}_{(A-\mathrm{i})^{-1}}\right)$ i.e. $V_2^{(n)}=(A-\mathrm{i})^{-1}Y_0^{(n)}-Y_0^{(n)}(A-\mathrm{i})^{-1}$ for $Y_0^{(n)}\in\mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$ such that $V_2^{(n)}\longrightarrow V_2$ in $\|.\|_2$. Furthermore, by lemma 2.3 $(vii)(b)$, the map $[0,1]\ni\tau\longrightarrow V_{1\tau},\ V_{2\tau}$ are continuous. Thus the expression in (3.12) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{i}^2\ t\hat{\phi}(t)dt\int_a^{\infty}\int_a^{\infty}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\lambda}-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\mu}}{\mathrm{i}(\lambda-\mu)}\lim_{n\to\infty}\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V_{2\tau}E_\tau(d\lambda)V_{2\tau}^{(n)}E_\tau(d\mu)-V_2E(d\lambda)V_2^{(n)}E(d\mu)\right]\\ &=\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\mathrm{i}^2\ t\hat{\phi}(t)dt\lim_{n\to\infty}\int_a^{\infty}\int_a^{\infty}\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\lambda}-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\mu}}{\mathrm{i}(\lambda-\mu)}\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V_{2\tau}E_\tau(d\lambda)V_{2\tau}^{(n)}E_\tau(d\mu)-V_2E(d\lambda)V_2^{(n)}E(d\mu)\right],\end{aligned}\tag{3.13}$$

since $Var\left(\mathcal{G}_2^{(n)}-\mathcal{G}_2\right)\le\|V_{2\tau}\|_2\ \|V_{2\tau}^{(n)}-V_{2\tau}\|_2+\|V\|_2\ \|V_2-V_2^{(n)}\|\longrightarrow 0$ as $n\longrightarrow\infty$, where $\mathcal{G}_2(\Delta\times\delta)=\mathrm{Tr}\left[V_{2\tau}E_\tau(\Delta)V_{2\tau}E_\tau(\delta)-V_2E(\Delta)V_2E(\delta)\right]$ and $\mathcal{G}_2^{(n)}(\Delta\times\delta)$ is the same expression with second V_2-terms replaced by $V_2^{(n)}$. These are complex measures on $\mathbb{R}^2$ and $Var\left(\mathcal{G}_2^{(n)}-\mathcal{G}_2\right)$ is the variation of $\left(\mathcal{G}_2^{(n)}-\mathcal{G}_2\right)$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}E_\tau(d\lambda)V_{2\tau}^{(n)}E_\tau(d\mu)\right)&=\mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}E_\tau(d\lambda)\left[(A_\tau-\mathrm{i})^{-1}Y^{(n)}-Y^{(n)}(A_\tau-\mathrm{i})^{-1}\right]E_\tau(d\mu)\right]\\ &=\frac{-(\lambda-\mu)}{(\lambda-\mathrm{i})(\mu-\mathrm{i})}\ \mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}E_\tau(d\lambda)Y^{(n)}E_\tau(d\mu)\right)\end{aligned}$$

and since $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |t\hat{\phi}(t)|dt < \infty$ and the other functions are bounded, the right hand side expression in (3.13) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{i}^2\ t\hat{\phi}(t)dt \int_a^{\infty}\int_a^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\lambda}-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\mu}}{\mathrm{i}(\lambda-\mu)} \left[\frac{-(\lambda-\mu)}{(\lambda-\mathrm{i})(\mu-\mathrm{i})}\right]\ \mathrm{Tr}\{V_{2\tau}E_\tau(d\lambda)Y^{(n)}E_\tau(d\mu) \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad - V_2E(d\lambda)Y_0^{(n)}E(d\mu)\} \\
&= \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} -\mathrm{i}t\hat{\phi}(t)dt \int_a^{\infty}\int_a^{\infty} \left[\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\lambda}-\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\mu}\right] \mathrm{Tr}\{V_{2\tau}E_\tau(d\lambda)\left(A_\tau-\mathrm{i}\right)^{-1}Y^{(n)}\left(A_\tau-\mathrm{i}\right)^{-1}E_\tau(d\mu) \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad - V_2E(d\lambda)\left(A-\mathrm{i}\right)^{-1}Y_0^{(n)}\left(A-\mathrm{i}\right)^{-1}E(d\mu)\} \\
&= \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} -\mathrm{i}t\hat{\phi}(t)dt \int_a^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}t\lambda}\ \mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(d\lambda),\tilde{Y}^{(n)}\right]-V_2\left[E(d\lambda),\tilde{Y_0}^{(n)}\right]\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where $\tilde{Y}^{(n)} = \left(A_\tau-\mathrm{i}\right)^{-1}Y^{(n)}\left(A_\tau-\mathrm{i}\right)^{-1}$ and $\tilde{Y_0}^{(n)} = \left(A-\mathrm{i}\right)^{-1}Y_0^{(n)}\left(A-\mathrm{i}\right)^{-1}$. Again by applying Fubini's theorem the right hand side expression in (3.14) is equal to

$$\int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_a^{\infty} -\phi'(\lambda)\ \mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(d\lambda),\tilde{Y}^{(n)}\right]-V_2\left[E(d\lambda),\tilde{Y_0}^{(n)}\right]\right),$$

and by integrating by-parts twice and on observing that the boundary term vanishes, this reduces to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \lim_{n\to\infty}\ -\{\phi'(\lambda)\ \mathrm{Tr}(V_{2\tau}[E_\tau(\lambda),\tilde{Y}^{(n)}]-V_2[E(\lambda),\tilde{Y_0}^{(n)}])\ |_{\lambda=a}^{\infty} \\
&\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad - \int_a^{\infty} -\phi''(\lambda)\ \mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(\lambda),\tilde{Y}^{(n)}\right]-V_2\left[E(\lambda),\tilde{Y_0}^{(n)}\right]\right)d\lambda\} \\
&= \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_a^{\infty} \phi''(\lambda)\ \mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(\lambda),\tilde{Y}^{(n)}\right]-V_2\left[E(\lambda),\tilde{Y_0}^{(n)}\right]\right)d\lambda \\
&= \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \lim_{n\to\infty}\ \{\phi''(\lambda)\int_a^{\lambda} \mathrm{Tr}(V_{2\tau}[E_\tau(\mu),\tilde{Y}^{(n)}]-V_2[E(\mu),\tilde{Y_0}^{(n)}])\ d\mu\ |_{\lambda=a}^{\infty} \\
&\qquad\qquad - \int_a^{\infty} \phi'''(\lambda)\left(\int_a^{\lambda} \mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(\mu),\tilde{Y}^{(n)}\right]-V_2\left[E(\mu),\tilde{Y_0}^{(n)}\right]\right)d\mu\right)d\lambda\} \\
&= \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_a^{\infty} \phi'''(\lambda)\left(\int_a^{\lambda} \mathrm{Tr}\left(V_2\left[E(\mu),\tilde{Y_0}^{(n)}\right]-V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(\mu),\tilde{Y}^{(n)}\right]\right)d\mu\right)d\lambda \\
&= \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \lim_{n\to\infty} \int_a^{\infty} \phi'''(\lambda)\ \eta_{2\tau}^{(n)}(\lambda)\ d\lambda,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

where $\eta_{2\tau}^{(n)}(\lambda) = \int_a^{\lambda} \mathrm{Tr}\left(V_2\left[E(\mu),\tilde{Y_0}^{(n)}\right]-V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(\mu),\tilde{Y}^{(n)}\right]\right)d\mu.$

Here it is worth observing that the hypothesis that A is bounded below is used for the first time here, only for performing the second integration-by-parts. Combining (3.11) and (3.15), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\operatorname{Tr}\left[\phi(A+V)-\phi(A)-\left[D^{(1)}\phi(A)\right](V)-\frac{1}{2}\left[D^{(2)}\phi(A)\right](V,V)\right]\\ =\int_0^1 ds\int_0^s d\tau \lim_{n\to\infty}\int_a^\infty \phi'''(\lambda)\ \eta_\tau^{(n)}(\lambda)\ d\lambda,\end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

where $\eta_\tau^{(n)}(\lambda)=\eta_{1\tau}(\lambda)+\eta_{2\tau}^{(n)}(\lambda)$. We claim that $\{\eta_\tau^{(n)}\}$ is a cauchy sequence in $L^1\left(\mathbb{R},\frac{d\lambda}{(1+\lambda^2)^{1+\epsilon}}\right)$ $(\epsilon>0)$ and we follow the idea from [6]. First note that $L^\infty(\mathbb{R},d\lambda)=L^\infty(\mathbb{R},\psi(\lambda)d\lambda)$ [where $\psi(\lambda)=\frac{1}{(1+\lambda^2)^{1+\epsilon}}$] since the two measures are equivalent. Next, let $f\in L^\infty(\mathbb{R},d\lambda)$ and define

$$g(\lambda)=\int_\lambda^\infty f(t)\psi(t)dt \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda\in\mathbb{R}, \quad \text{then} \quad g \quad \text{is absolutely continuous with}$$

$g'(\lambda)=-f(\lambda)\psi(\lambda)$ a.e. and that $|g(\lambda)|\leq$ Const. $\frac{1}{(1+\lambda^2)^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon'}}$ (for some $\epsilon'>0$) for $\lambda\to\infty$ and bounded. Next consider the expression

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{-\infty}^\infty f(\lambda)\psi(\lambda)\left[\eta_\tau^{(n)}(\lambda)-\eta_\tau^{(m)}(\lambda)\right]d\lambda=\int_a^\infty f(\lambda)\psi(\lambda)\left[\eta_{2\tau}^{(n)}(\lambda)-\eta_{2\tau}^{(m)}(\lambda)\right]d\lambda\\ =\int_a^\infty -g'(\lambda)\ d\lambda\left(\int_a^\lambda \operatorname{Tr}\left(V_2\left[E(\mu),\tilde{Y_0}^{(n)}-\tilde{Y_0}^{(m)}\right]-V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(\mu),\tilde{Y}^{(n)}-\tilde{Y}^{(m)}\right]\right)d\mu\right),\end{aligned}$$

which on integration by-parts and on observing that the boundary terms vanishes, leads to

$$\int_a^\infty g(\lambda)\operatorname{Tr}\left(V_2\left[E(\lambda),\tilde{Y_0}^{(n)}-\tilde{Y_0}^{(m)}\right]-V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(\lambda),\tilde{Y}^{(n)}-\tilde{Y}^{(m)}\right]\right)d\lambda.\tag{3.17}$$

Define

$$h(\lambda)=\int_a^\lambda g(t)dt \quad \text{for} \quad \lambda\in[a,\infty), \quad \text{then} \quad h \quad \text{is bounded, differentiable} \quad [a,\infty)$$

with $h'(\lambda)=g(\lambda)\ \forall\ \lambda\in[a,\infty)$. Hence by integrating by-parts and observing that the boundary term vanishes, the right hand side expression in (3.17) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_a^\infty h'(\lambda)\mathrm{Tr}\left(V_2\left[E(\lambda),\tilde{Y}_0^{(n)}-\tilde{Y}_0^{(m)}\right]-V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(\lambda),\tilde{Y}^{(n)}-\tilde{Y}^{(m)}\right]\right)d\lambda \\
&\quad= h(\lambda)\ \mathrm{Tr}\left(V_2\left[E(\lambda),\tilde{Y}_0^{(n)}-\tilde{Y}_0^{(m)}\right]-V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(\lambda),\tilde{Y}^{(n)}-\tilde{Y}^{(m)}\right]\right)\ |_{\lambda=a}^{\infty} \\
&\qquad\qquad -\int_a^\infty h(\lambda)\mathrm{Tr}\left(V_2\left[E(d\lambda),\tilde{Y}_0^{(n)}-\tilde{Y}_0^{(m)}\right]-V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(d\lambda),\tilde{Y}^{(n)}-\tilde{Y}^{(m)}\right]\right) \\
&\quad=\int_a^\infty h(\lambda)\ \mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[E_\tau(d\lambda),\tilde{Y}^{(n)}-\tilde{Y}^{(m)}\right]-V_2\left[E(d\lambda),\tilde{Y}_0^{(n)}-\tilde{Y}_0^{(m)}\right]\right) \\
&\quad= \mathrm{Tr}\left(V_{2\tau}\left[h(A_\tau),\tilde{Y}^{(n)}-\tilde{Y}^{(m)}\right]-V_2\left[h(A),\tilde{Y}_0^{(n)}-\tilde{Y}_0^{(m)}\right]\right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

But on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left[h(A),\tilde{Y}_0^{(n)}\right] &= \int_a^\infty\int_a^\infty [h(\lambda)-h(\mu)]E(d\lambda)\tilde{Y}_0^{(n)}E(d\mu) \\
&= \int_a^\infty\int_a^\infty [h(\lambda)-h(\mu)](\lambda-\mathrm{i})^{-1}(\mu-\mathrm{i})^{-1}E(d\lambda)Y_0^{(n)}E(d\mu) \\
&= \int_a^\infty\int_a^\infty \frac{h(\lambda)-h(\mu)}{(\lambda-\mathrm{i})^{-1}-(\mu-\mathrm{i})^{-1}}(\lambda-\mathrm{i})^{-1}(\mu-\mathrm{i})^{-1}E(d\lambda)V_2^{(n)}E(d\mu)
\end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\left[h(A),\tilde{Y}_0^{(n)}\right] = -\int_a^\infty\int_a^\infty \frac{h(\lambda)-h(\mu)}{\lambda-\mu}\ E(d\lambda)V_2^{(n)}E(d\mu).$$

Therefore

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left(V_2\left[h(A),\tilde{Y}_0^{(n)}-\tilde{Y}_0^{(n)}\right]\right) = -\int_a^\infty\int_a^\infty \frac{h(\lambda)-h(\mu)}{\lambda-\mu}\ \mathrm{Tr}\left(V_2E(d\lambda)\left[V_2^{(n)}-V_2^{(m)}\right]E(d\mu)\right) \tag{3.19}$$

and hence as in Birman-Solomyak ([2],[3]) and in [4] ,

$$\left|\mathrm{Tr}\left(V_2\left[h(A),\tilde{Y}_0^{(n)}-\tilde{Y}_0^{(n)}\right]\right)\right| \le \|f\|_\infty\ \|\psi\|_{L^1}\ \|V\|_2 \left\|\left[V_2^{(n)}-V_2^{(m)}\right]\right\|_2$$

and hence

$$\frac{\left|\int_{-\infty}^\infty f(\lambda)\psi(\lambda)\left[\eta_\tau^{(n)}(\lambda)-\eta_\tau^{(m)}(\lambda)\right]d\lambda\right|}{\|f\|_\infty} \le \|\psi\|_{L^1}\ \|V\|_2\ \left(\left\|\left[V_2^{(n)}-V_2^{(m)}\right]\right\|_2+\left\|\left[V_{2\tau}^{(n)}-V_{2\tau}^{(m)}\right]\right\|_2\right)$$

i.e.

$$\|\eta_\tau^{(n)}-\eta_\tau^{(m)}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R},\psi(\lambda)d\lambda)} \le \|\psi\|_{L^1}\ \|V\|_2\ \left(\left\|\left[V_2^{(n)}-V_2^{(m)}\right]\right\|_2+\left\|\left[V_{2\tau}^{(n)}-V_{2\tau}^{(m)}\right]\right\|_2\right),$$

which converges to 0 as $m, n \longrightarrow \infty$ and $\forall\, \tau \in [0,1]$. A similar computation also shows that $\|\eta_\tau^{(n)}\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R},\psi(\lambda)d\lambda)} \leq 2\ \|\psi\|_{L^1}\ \|V\|_2^2$, independent of τ and n. Therefore $\{\int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau\ \eta_\tau^{(n)}(\lambda) \equiv \eta^{(n)}(\lambda)\}$ is also cauchy in $L^1(\mathbb{R}, \psi(\lambda)d\lambda)$ and thus there exists a function $\eta \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \psi(\lambda)d\lambda)$ such that $\|\eta^{(n)} - \eta\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R},\psi(\lambda)d\lambda)} \longrightarrow 0$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, by the bounded convergence theorem and hence also $\|\eta\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R},\psi(\lambda)d\lambda)} \leq \|\psi\|_{L^1}\|V\|_2^2$. Therefore by using the Dominated Convergence theorem as well as Fubini's theorem, from (3.16) we conclude that

$$\operatorname{Tr}\left[\phi(A+V) - \phi(A) - \left[D^{(1)}\phi(A)\right](V) - \frac{1}{2}\left[D^{(2)}\phi(A)\right](V,V)\right] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi'''(\lambda)\eta(\lambda)d\lambda.$$

□

The proof of the uniqueness and the real-valued nature of η is postponed till after the corollary 3.4.

Corollary 3.4. *Let A be an unbounded self-adjoint operator in a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ with $\sigma(A) \subseteq [b,\infty)$ for some $b \in \mathbb{R}$ and V be a self-adjoint operator such that $V \in \mathcal{B}_2(\mathcal{H})$. Then the function $\eta \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \psi(\lambda)d\lambda)$ obtained as in theorem 3.3 satisfies the following equation*

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\lambda)\psi(\lambda)\eta(\lambda)d\lambda \\ &= \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \int_a^\infty \int_a^\infty \frac{h(\lambda)-h(\mu)}{\lambda-\mu} \operatorname{Tr}\left[VE(d\lambda)VE(d\mu) - VE_\tau(d\lambda)VE_\tau(d\mu)\right],\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

where $f(\lambda)$, $g(\lambda)$, $h(\lambda)$ and $\psi(\lambda)$ are as in the proof of the theorem 3.3.

Proof. By Fubini's theorem we have that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(\lambda)\psi(\lambda)\eta^{(n)}(\lambda)d\lambda = \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \int_a^\infty f(\lambda)\psi(\lambda)\left[\eta_{1\tau}(\lambda) + \eta_{2\tau}^{(n)}(\lambda)\right]d\lambda.$$

But

$$\int_a^\infty f(\lambda)\psi(\lambda)\eta_{1\tau}(\lambda)d\lambda = \int_a^\infty -g'(\lambda)\operatorname{Tr}\left[V_1^2E(\lambda) - V_{1\tau}^2E_\tau(\lambda)\right]d\lambda,$$

which by integrating by-parts and observing that the boundary terms vanishes, leads to

$$\int_a^\infty g(\lambda)\operatorname{Tr}\left[V_1^2E(d\lambda) - V_{1\tau}^2E_\tau(d\lambda)\right] = \operatorname{Tr}\left[V_1^2h'(A) - V_{1\tau}^2h'(A_\tau)\right], \quad \text{which}$$

by lemma 2.3 (ii) is equal to

$$\int_a^\infty \int_a^\infty \frac{h(\lambda)-h(\mu)}{\lambda-\mu} \operatorname{Tr}\left[V_1E(d\lambda)V_1E(d\mu) - V_{1\tau}E_\tau(d\lambda)V_{1\tau}E_\tau(d\mu)\right]. \tag{3.21}$$

Again by repeating the same calculations as in the proof of the theorem 3.3, we conclude that

$$\int_a^\infty f(\lambda)\psi(\lambda)\eta_{2\tau}^{(n)}(\lambda)d\lambda = \int_a^\infty \int_a^\infty \frac{h(\lambda)-h(\mu)}{\lambda-\mu}\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[V_2E(d\lambda)V_2^{(n)}E(d\mu) - V_{2\tau}E_\tau(d\lambda)V_{2\tau}^{(n)}E_\tau(d\mu)\right]. \tag{3.22}$$

Combining (3.21) and (3.22) we have,

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{-\infty}^\infty f(\lambda)\psi(\lambda)\eta^{(n)}(\lambda)d\lambda\\ &= \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \int_a^\infty \int_a^\infty \frac{h(\lambda)-h(\mu)}{\lambda-\mu}\ \mathrm{Tr}[(V_1\oplus V_2)E(d\lambda)\left(V_1\oplus V_2^{(n)}\right)E(d\mu)\\ &\qquad - (V_{1\tau}\oplus V_{2\tau})E_\tau(d\lambda)\left(V_{1\tau}\oplus V_{2\tau}^{(n)}\right)E_\tau(d\mu)].\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

But by definition $V_2^{(n)}, V_{2\tau}^{(n)}$ converges to $V_2, V_{2\tau}$ respectively in $\|.\|_2$ and we have already proved that $\eta^{(n)}$ converges to η in $L^1(\mathbb{R}, \psi(\lambda)d\lambda)$ .Hence by taking limit on both sides of (3.23) we get that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{-\infty}^\infty f(\lambda)\psi(\lambda)\eta(\lambda)d\lambda\\ &= \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \int_a^\infty \int_a^\infty \frac{h(\lambda)-h(\mu)}{\lambda-\mu}\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[VE(d\lambda)VE(d\mu) - VE_\tau(d\lambda)VE_\tau(d\mu)\right],\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

where we have used the fact that
$Var\left(\mathcal{G}_2^{(n)} - \mathcal{G}_2\right) \leq \|V\|_2\left(\|V_{2\tau}^{(n)} - V_{2\tau}\|_2 + \|V_2 - V_2^{(n)}\|\right) \longrightarrow 0$, and that $\|h\|_{\mathrm{Lip}} \leq \|g\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty\|\psi\|_{L^1}$.

□

Proof of uniqueness and real-valued property of η: For uniqueness in theorem 3.3, let us assume that there exists $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \psi(\lambda)d\lambda)$ such that

$$\mathrm{Tr}\left[\phi(A+V) - \phi(A) - \left[D^{(1)}\phi(A)\right](V) - \frac{1}{2}\left[D^{(2)}\phi(A)\right](V,V)\right] = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \phi'''(\lambda)\eta_j(\lambda)d\lambda$$

for $j = 1, 2$. Then using corollary 3.4 we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda)\psi(\lambda)\eta_j(\lambda)d\lambda = \int_0^1 ds \int_0^s d\tau \int_a^\infty \int_a^\infty \frac{h(\lambda)-h(\mu)}{\lambda-\mu}\ \mathrm{Tr}\left[VE(d\lambda)VE(d\mu) - VE_\tau(d\lambda)VE_\tau(d\mu)\right],$$

for $j = 1, 2$ and for all $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda)\ \psi(\lambda)\ \eta(\lambda)\ d\lambda = 0\ \ \forall\ \ f\ \ \ \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}), \tag{3.25}$$

where $\eta(\lambda) \equiv \eta_1(\lambda) - \eta_2(\lambda) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \psi(\lambda)d\lambda)$. Since (3.25) is true for all $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, in particular it is true for all real valued $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ i.e.

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda)\ \psi(\lambda)\ \eta(\lambda)\ d\lambda = 0\ \ \forall \quad \text{real valued} \quad f\ \ \ \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}). \tag{3.26}$$

Let $\eta(\lambda) = \eta_{Rel}(\lambda) + \mathrm{i}\ \eta_{Img}(\lambda)$, where $\eta_{Rel}(\lambda)$ and $\eta_{Img}(\lambda)$ are real valued $L^1(\mathbb{R}, \psi(\lambda)d\lambda)$-function. Hence from (3.26) , we conclude that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda)\ \psi(\lambda)\ \eta_{Rel}(\lambda)\ d\lambda = 0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} f(\lambda)\ \psi(\lambda)\ \eta_{Img}(\lambda)\ d\lambda\ \ \forall \quad \text{real valued} \quad f\ \ \ \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}). \tag{3.27}$$

In particular if we consider $f(\lambda) = sgn\ \eta_{Rel}(\lambda)$, where $sgn\ \eta_{Rel}(\lambda) = 0\ \forall\ \lambda$ such that $\eta_{Rel}(\lambda) = 0$; $sgn\ \eta_{Rel}(\lambda) = 1\ \forall\ \lambda$ such that $\eta_{Rel}(\lambda) > 0$; $sgn\ \eta_{Rel}(\lambda) = -1\ \forall\ \lambda$ such that $\eta_{Rel}(\lambda) < 0$. Then $f = sgn\ \eta_{Rel} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ and hence

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |\eta_{Rel}(\lambda)|\,|\psi(\lambda)|\ d\lambda = \int_{\mathbb{R}} sgn\ \eta_{Rel}(\lambda)\ \eta_{Rel}(\lambda)\ \psi(\lambda)d\lambda = 0,$$

which implies that $|\eta_{Rel}(\lambda)|\,|\psi(\lambda)| = 0$ a.e. and hence $\eta_{Rel}(\lambda) = 0$ a.e.. Similarly by the same above argument we conclude that $\eta_{Img}(\lambda) = 0$ a.e. and hence $\eta(\lambda) = 0$ a.e.. Therefore $\eta_1(\lambda) = \eta_2(\lambda)$a.e.. Again, since the right hand side of (3.20) is real for all real valued $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$, by a similar argument as above, it follows that η is real valued.

Acknowledgment: The authors would like to thank Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Government of India for a research and Bhatnagar Fellowship respectively.

References

[1] Bhatia, R.: Matrix Analysis. Springer, New York (1997)

[2] Birman, M. S. and Solomyak, M. Z.: Remarks on the spectral shift function. Zap.NauchSem. Len. Otdel..Mat. Instt. Steklova, Akad Nauk. SSSR 27 33-46 (1972) (English translation: J.Sov.Math.3(4) 408-419 (1975))

[3] Birman, M. S. and Solomyak, M. Z.: Double operator integrals in a Hilbert space. Integral Equ. Oper. Theory 47, 131-168 (2003)

[4] Chattopadhyay, A. and Sinha, K. B.: Koplienko Trace Formula. Integral Equ. Oper. Theory.,2012.

[5] Dykema, K. and Skripka, A.: Higher order spectral shift. J. Funct. Anal. 257 , 1092-1132 (2009)

[6] Gesztesy, F. Pushnitski, A. and Simon, B. On the Kopleinko spectral shift function. I.Basics Z.Mat.Fiz.Anal.Geom.,4(1) 63-107 (2008).

[7] Kato, T.: Perturbation Theory of Linear Operators, 2nd edn. Springer , New York (1976)

[8] Koplienko, L. S.: Trace formula for nontrace-class perturbations. Sibirsk. Mat.Zh. 25(5), 6-21 (1984) (Russian).English Translation: Siberian Math.J.25(5) ,735-743 (1984)

[9] Krein, M. G.: On certain new studies in the perturbation theory for self-adjoint operators. In: Gohberg, I.(ed.) Topics in Differential and Integral equations and Operator theory, OT 7 , pp.107-172. Birkhauser, Basel (1983)

[10] Potapov, D. Skripka, A. and Sukochev, F.: Spectral shift function of higher order, arXiv:0912.3056v1.

[11] Sinha, K. B. and Mohapatra, A. N.: Spectral shift function and trace formula. Proc.Indian Acad.Sci. (Math.Sci.),104(4), 819-853 (1994)

[12] Sinha, K. B. and Mohapatra, M. N.: Spectral shift function and trace formula for unitaries-a new proof. Integral Equ. Oper. Theory 24 , 285-297 (1996)

[13] Voiculescu, D. On a Trace Formula of M.G.Krein. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications,Vol.24, pp.329-332. Birkhauser, Basel (1987)